Dynamic Dispersal of Haemonchosis, its Treatment and Effect on Blood Profile of Small Ruminants of Lodhran District, Punjab, Pakistan

Hafiz Muhammad Qasim,^{1,*} Muhammad Avais,¹ Aneela Zameer Durrani,¹ Muhammad Arif Khan¹ and Abid Hussain Shahzad²

¹Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

²Department Clinical Sciences, Section of Theriogenology, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Jhang35200, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to determine dynamic dispersal, treatment of *Haemonchus contortus* and its effect on blood profile of small ruminants in district Lodhran, Punjab. Out of total 646 animals, 30% (97/323) sheep and 25% (81/323) goats were found positive. The prevalence was found associated with seasons and body condition score. They were treated with the combination of triclabendazole and levamisole, *Mallotus philippensis* and *Fumaria indica* Triclabendazole and levamisole combination was the most effective treatment in order followed by kamila (*Mallotus philippensis*) and shahtrah (*Fumaria indica*). The statistical analysis of blood profile showed significant (P<0.05) decrease in red blood cells, haemoglobin, pack cell volume, total serum protein concentration, serum albumin and significant (P<0.05) increase in eosinophil number and serum enzymes level in infected animals. In conclusion, *H. contortus* may be considered as endemic in study area.

INTRODUCTION

Gastro-intestinal nematodes are common in small ruminants of developing countries like Pakistan (Strain and Stear, 2001; Saddiqi et al., 2010). Among them, Haemonchus contortus is a voracious blood sucking nematode and responsible for huge economic losses (Raza et al., 2007; Saddiqi et al., 2010) through anemia, diarrhea, weight loss and death of animals (Ejlertsen et al., 2006; Squires et al., 2011; Nabi et al., 2014). Each worm of H. contortus sucks about 0.05 ml of blood per day from stomach (Raza et al., 2009; Tasawar et al., 2010; Qamar and Maqbool, 2012). It has also effects on digestive efficiency which can lead to loss of meat (27%) and wool (40%) in sheep and goats (Iqbal and Jabbar, 2005; Bachaya et al., 2006; Mushtaq et al., 2011). The prevalence range of *H. contortus* is in between 24.6% to 80.64% in Pakistan (Al-Shaibani et al., 2008; Asif et al., 2008). H. contortus infection has also been reported to cause hematological and biochemical abnormalities (Asif et al., 2008; Ijaz et al., 2009; Hassan et al., 2013). Clinical signs and presence of eggs in feces are the two major tools for the diagnosis of haemonchosis. The treatment of haemonchosis is based upon anthelmintic drugs (McKellar and Jackson, 2004), but the worms seem



Article information Received 3 February 2015 Revised 5 November 2015 Accepted 19 November 2015 Available online 14 March 2016

Authors' Contributions

HMQ designed the study, collected and analyzed the samples. MA and AZD analyzed the data. AHS wrote the article.

Key words

H. contortus, Sheep, Goat, Prevalence, Treatment, EPG, Blood profile.

to have developed resistance against these drugs (Mortensen *et al.*, 2003; Kaplan, 2004). So, herbal medicine may be considered as one of the effective treatment along with anthelmintic. The anthelmintic activity of various plants has been studied by different researchers (Githiori *et al.*, 2004; Marie-Magdeleine *et al.*, 2010; Kamaraj *et al.*, 2011). The present study was designed to check the prevalence, intensity, treatment and effect of haemonchosis on blood profile of sheep and goats in district Lodhran, Punjab, Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prevalence study was conducted from April 2013 to March 2014 in sheep and goats reared by small holder livestock farmers in Lodhran. Different animal farms were visited on weekly and monthly basis during which 646 small ruminants (n=323 sheep and n=323 goats) were randomly selected and sampled. About 5 grams of fecal sample was collected directly from the rectum of each sheep and goat after wearing disposable gloves. The samples were stored in 10% formalin, labeled and dispatched to Medicine Laboratory, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore. The fecal samples were examined through direct smear method while EPG was performed using modified McMaster Technique. The identification of *H. contortus* egg was based on morphology (Soulsby 2005; Kuchai et al., 2012).

^{*}Corresponding author: vet_drqasim@yahoo.com 0030-9923/2016/0003-0755 \$ 8.00/0

Copyright 2016 Zoological Society of Pakistan

A total of 60 animals (n=30 sheep and n=30 goats) were randomly selected out of which 48 (n=24 sheep and n=24 goats) were naturally infected with H. contortus and 12 (n=6 sheep and n=6 goats) were negative for H. contortus. Animals were randomly divided into five groups each of 6. For chemotherapy, the animals in group A was treated with triclabendazole plus levamisole (Trimax®, Prix Pharmaceutica (Pvt) Ltd) at 12 mg +7.5 mg/kg orally, while the members in group B were given kameela (Mallotus philippensis) at 24mg/kg orally. The sheep and goats in group C were given shahtra (Fumaria indica) at 35 mg/kg orally. The members in group D served as control positive and the members in group E served as control negative. Fecal samples were collected at day 0 (pre-medication) and then at day 3, 7, 14, and 21 (post-medication) for egg per gram (EPG). EPG was counted by McMaster technique as detailed by Soulsby (2005). The efficacy of the drugs was calculated on the basis of reduction in EPG measured as per formula (Varady et al., 2004).

$Drug efficary = \frac{Pre Treatment EPG - Post Treatment EPG}{Pre Treatment EPG} \times 100$

Blood Profile

For this purpose 10 ml blood sample was collected from each member of groups A, B, C, D and E at day 0 (before treatment) and day 21 (after treatment). After collection blood was stored in test tubes having tubes containing anticoagulant for biochemical analysis and without anticoagulant for haematological analysis using haematological and biochemistry analyzers at University Diagnostic Laboratory.

Statistical analysis

The data of prevalence was analyzed by frequency analysis using chi-square test. The percentages and 95% confidence limit for some parameters were determined and where appropriate odd ratio was also computed. Data on chemotherapy and hemogram was analyzed by one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.0. A probability level ≤ 0.05 was considered as statistically significantly different.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dynamic dispersal

Table I shows overall prevalence, sex wise, breed wise, feeding system wise and season wise prevalence of *H. contortus* in sheep and goats of District Loadhran. *H. contortus* is of high economic significance due to its high prevalence and blood sucking habit (Aumont *et al.*, 1997; Notter *et al.*, 2003) especially under warm and wet

conditions. The findings of our study are congruent with the results reported by Muzarab et al. (1980), Maqsood et al. (1996) and Tariq et al. (2003, 2008) were 36.8%, 47.1%, 38% and 59.6% in small ruminants, respectively. These minor differences in the range of prevalence may be due to environmental and managerial conditions. Nearly, similar trend of sex wise prevalence of H. contortus was recorded in males (84.6%) as compared to females (72.1%) in sheep. These significantly differences were due to lack of resistance of animals to H. contortus infection and environmental factors may contribute to this (Tasawar et al., 2010). Similarly the higher prevalence was illustrious in summer, followed by autumn, spring and winter by Nginyi et al. (2001) in Kenya, Shahadat et al. (2003) in Bangladesh, Khajuria and Kapoor (2003) in India and Lateef et al. (2005) in Pakistan. There are several aspects that contribute the disease commencement like humid, warm and wet grazing season, the more time animals used on pasture, poor husbandry practices, ineffective choice of dewormers and increased anthelmintic resistance.

Intensity of H. contortus

Table II shows EPG values of sheep and goats in different groups at at day 0 (pre-treatment) 3, 7, 14 and 21 (post treatment).

Chemicals are mostly used for the treatment and control of gastrointestinal parasites (Ancheta *et al.*, 2004; McKellar and Jackson, 2004; Ghisi *et al.*, 2007). *Mallotus philippinensis* usually known as kamila in traditional medicine is anthelmintic and it has also effects against tape worm infections, parasitic skin infections, eye problems (Sharma and Varma, 2011; Ahmed and Siddiqa, 2013). *Fumaria indica* frequently known as Shahtrah, it has many medicinal properties in folk medicine as an anthelmintic, diuretic, laxative and stomachic activities and it is also used for purification of blood in traditional medicine system (Gupta *et al.*, 2012).

Efficacy of drugs against H. contortus

Table II also shows that combination of triclabendazole and levamisole was most effective treatment for *H. contortus* followed by kamila (*Mallotus philippensis*) and shahtrah (*Fumaria indica*) both in sheep and goats.

Hematological values of sheep and goats

Hematological parameters in different groups of sheep and goats are given in Table III. At day 21 RBC's count, hemoglobin, PCV, MCV, MCH, MCHC, platelets and lymphocyte count increased significantly (P<0.05) in groups A, B and C of sheep and goats compared to group D whereas WBC count, monocyte count and granulocytes

Species/sex/ age/breed	No. of animal	Positive (%)	95% CI	Odd Ratio/ reciprocal	MH Chi-Sq P-value
ugo, or cou				reeprocui	I vulue
Sheep	323	97 (30.03)	25.22-35.20		
Goats	323	81 (25.07)	20.58-30.02	1.28/0.78	-
Sheep: Sex					
Male	110	25 (23.00)	15.62-31.25	0 50/1 54	
Female	213	72 (33.80)	27.69-40.36	0.58/1.74	-
Breed					
Cholistani	110	36 (32.72)	24.45-41.90		
Thali	101	27 (26.73)	18.79-35.99	-	P = 0.352
Buchi	112	34 (30.36)	22.38-39.34		
Feeding system					
Stall feeding	63	16 (25.40)	15.82-37.19		
Grazing	180	59 (32.77)	26.22-39.89	-	P = 0.435
Stall+Grazing	80	22 (27.50)	18.57-38.03		
Seasons					
Summer	110	57 (51.82)	42.49-61.05		
Spring	95	23 (24.21)	16.40-33.57		D = 0.000
Autumn	53	10 (18.86)	10.00-31.06	-	P = 0.000
Winter	65	7 (10.77)	4.83-20.14		
Goats: Sex					
Male	90	19 21.11)	13.61-30.44	0.03/1.07	
Female	233	52 (22.32)	17.32-28.00	0.93/1.07	-
Breeds					
Nachi	92	22 (23.91)	16.03-33.41		
Beetal	125	32 (25.60)	18.53-33.79	-	P = 0.404
Teddy	106	27 (25.47)	17.87-34.40		
Feeding system					
Stall feeding	55	11 (20.00)	10.99-32.10		
Grazing	195	54 (27.69)	21.75-34.29	-	P = 0.460
Stall+Grazing	73	16 (21.92)	13.55-32.48		
Season					
Summer	125	54 (43.20)	34.72 -51.99		
Spring	96	18 (18.75)	11.87-27.49	_	P = 0.000
Autumn	40	4 (10.00)	3.26-22.38	-	1 = 0.000
Winter	62	5 (8.06)	3.01-16.97		

 Table I. Prevalence and egg per gram count of *H. contortus* in sheep and goats in Lodhran.

Summer (May-Aug); Spring (Feb-April); Autumn (Sep-Oct); Winter (Nov-Jan)

Table II	EPG values of <i>H</i> .	contortus at various	s days and i	in sheep and	l goats.
----------	--------------------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------	----------

Groups	Animal		EPG values at various days after treatment					
		0day	3 rd day	7 th day	14 th day	21 st day		
A (Triclabendazole + Levamisole)	Sheep	1867±176.38 ^a	1433±130.81ª	817±65.41ª	633±61.46 ^a	167±61.46 ^a		
	Goat	1717±60.09 ^a	1333±33.33ª	967±55.78 ^a	650±42.817 ^a	233±55.78ª		
B (Mallotus philippensis)	Sheep	1800±73.03 ^{ab}	1533±80.28 ^{ab}	1117±87.24 ^b	750±76.38 ^{ab}	367±55.78 ^{ab}		
	Goat	1783±47.72 ^{ab}	1500±51.63 ^{ab}	1200±63.24 ^{ab}	800±63.24 ^{ab}	417±47.72 ^{ab}		
C (Fumaria indica)	Sheep	1750±76.38 ^{abc}	1500±73.03abc	1200±57.74°	917±47.73°	533±55.78 ^{bc}		
× ,	Goat	1733±55.78 ^{abc}	1567±61.46bc	1300±73.02bc	983±47.73 ^{bc}	600±51.64 ^{bc}		
D (Positive control)	Sheep	1733±88.19 ^{abcd}	1783.±70.32bcd	1833 ± 80.28^{d}	1917±79.23 ^d	2017±70.32d		
	Goat	1767±71.49 ^{abcd}	1783±70.32d	1817±70.31 ^d	1883±70.32d	1983±70.32d		
E (Negative control)	Sheep	0.00±0.00e	0.00 ± 0.00^{e}	0.00±0.00e	0.00±0.00e	0.00±0.00 ^{ae}		
	Goat	0.00 ± 0.00^{e}	0.00±0.00e	0.00±0.00e	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{e}$	0.00±0.00e		

Values in same column having different superscript letters are statistically significantly (P<0.05) different.

Groups	Days	WBCs (×10 ⁹ /l)	RBCs (×10 ¹² /l)	(lþ/g) dH	PCV (%)	MCV (fl)	MCH (pg)	MCHC z(g/dl)	PLT1 (×10 ⁹ /l)	Lymph (%)	Mono (%)	Granul (%)
Sheep	0	41.05±10.21*	7.53±1.01*	6.98±1.34*	20.58±2.60*	24.16±2.22*	6.56±0.52*	23.61±2.65*	*183.00±69.45	78.23±8.31*	6.43±1.98*	70.66±8.47*
A (n=6)	21	7.83±1.50*	11.94±1.84*	12.73±1.48*	42.5±9.28*	33.55±3.12*	10.00±0.97*	32.30±0.88*	*544.00±149.04	55.95±9.82*	3.70±0.86*	33.08±6.60*
B (n=6)	0	39.43±10.38*	6.50±1.142*	6.08±0.67*	19.75±1.58*	22.33±1.15*	$5.58\pm1.01^{*}$	$21.98\pm1.43*$	*192.50±70.51	79.33±10.34*	4.11±1.18*	72.16±9.17*
	21	8.65±1.88*	12.11±1.44*	11.83±1.89*	40.83±7.86*	33.68±2.95*	$10.68\pm0.97^{*}$	$32.58\pm0.52*$	*558.67±64.05	55.13±9.11*	3.01±1.27*	28.56±9.60*
C (n=6)	0	37.60±8.96*	5.19±.86*	5.16±0.68*	18.91±2.54*	21.56±0.85*	4.91 ± 0.67 *	18.45±1.45*	*165.33±45.74	80.16±5.58*	4.38±1.01*	72.00±10.39*
	21	6.98±1.38*	11.95±1.72*	11.83±1.54*	50.57±11.17*	34.08±3.42*	9.93 ± 0.79 *	32.36±1.12*	*556.50±101.08	51.26±4.53*	2.51±0.52*	29.40±7.84*
D (n=6)	0	41.26±13.57**	5.06±0.75**	5.01±0.85**	14.53±2.51**	18.76±1.88**	4.78±0.78**	19.96±2.35**	**152.83±27.13	65.95±11.64**	2.26±0.77**	70.01±8.03**
	21	44.26±8.14**	4.65±0.56**	4.73±0.48**	13.01±1.97**	17.26±1.41**	4.61±0.57**	19.63±2.79**	**145.50±24.77	66.78±12.86**	2.26±0.77**	77.18±8.89**
E (n=6)	0	8.05±1.54**	12.65±1.57**	12.96±1.22**	49.53±11.55**	33.63±2.01**	$10.18\pm1.1^{**}$	$32.61\pm0.79**$	**437.83±153.62	59.28±8.51**	4.01±1.32**	35.90±8.18**
	21	8.05±1.54**	12.65±1.57**	12.96±1.22**	49.53±11.55**	33.63±2.01**	$10.18\pm1.1^{**}$	$32.61\pm0.79**$	**437.83±153.62	59.28±8.51**	4.01±1.32**	39.21±5.27**
Goats	0	34.45±8.17*	6.34±0.99*	6.40±0.35*	23.16±1.16*	20.46±1.82*	5.00±0.43*	27.38±2.00	*247.00±26.43	35.00±3.02*	5.00±0.44*	70.66±8.47*
A (n=6)	21	9.13±0.86*	13.40±1.54*	11.68±1.16*	33.83±4.07*	33.08±3.04*	10.15±1.03*	32.21±1.00	*403.33±37.10	50.03±1.00*	3.16±0.42*	30.08±6.00*
B (n=6)	0	35.28±6.03*	$6.10\pm1.10^{*}$	6.13±0.21*	23.33±1.21*	19.00±1.49*	5.00±0.43*	27.00 ± 3.00	*230.00±26.39	34.00±4.15*	4.38±1.00*	72.16±9.17*
	21	10.21±0.94*	$13.40\pm0.90^{*}$	11.85±1.39*	37.00±6.16*	34.16±4.07*	10.28±1.37*	32.26 ± 1.01	*383.33±19.91	51.00±8.00*	3.00±1.01*	28.00±9.30*
C (n=6)	0	27.50±5.74*	5.01±0.81*	6.15±0.18*	23.33±1.21*	26.00±1.21*	5.00±0.24*	29.00 ± 2.00	*223.50±22.81	34.40±4.00*	4.11±1.00*	72.00±10.39*
	21	9.86±1.13*	13.53±0.55*	11.35±1.58*	38.16±5.63*	38.16±5.00*	10.23±1.03*	32.28 ± 1.00	*394.16±28.35	59.00±7.00*	2.00±1.08**	26.40±6.84*
D (n=6)	0	37.00±6.69**	5.30±0.75**	6.10±0.12**	23.00±0.89**	27.00±1.19**	5.00±0.14**	37.00 ± 4.00	**212.16±21.70	37.00±4.00**	$2.00\pm1.08^{**}$	70.01±8.03**
	21	43.50±7.23**	5.06±0.74**	5.73±0.25**	20.33±1.50**	28.00±1.19**	5.00±0.14**	37.00 ± 4.00	**202.16±21.70	37.00±4.00**	$4.00\pm1.00^{**}$	77.18±8.89**
E (n=6)	0	$8.33\pm0.60^{**}$	11.48±1.56**	9.43±0.58**	38.66±7.96**	33.00±2.14**	$6.04\pm0.35^{**}$	32.13±1.00	$**442.50\pm 30.31$	49.33±6.43**	4.00±1.00**	36.90±8.19**
	21	$8.33\pm0.60^{**}$	11.48±1.56**	9.43±0.58**	38.66±7.96**	33.00±2.14	$6.04\pm0.35^{**}$	32.13±1.00	$**442.50\pm 30.31$	49.33±6.43**	3.70±0.62	36.90±8.19**
Values h that value	naving dif es are stat	Ferent superscript: tistically non signi	s letters in each ficantly different	column are stati t (P>0.05)	stically significan	ıtly different (P⊲	0.05) *indicates	s that values are	statistically signific.	antly different (P	<0.05) **indica	fe

Table III.- Hematological values in different groups at day 0 and 21 in sheep and goats (Mean±SD).

H.M. QASIM ET AL.

Group	Dave	Total serum protein (a/dl)	Albumin (g/dl)	AI T (11/1)	AST (11/1)
01000	Days	Total serum protein (g/ui)	Albuinn (g/ul)		
Sheen					
A (n=6)	0	2.85+0.76*	1.83+0.28*	55.33+5.68*	137.00+8.60*
	21	6.83+0.38*	3.05+0.24*	28.66+5.68*	96.83+18.91*
B (n=6)	0	2.78+0.64*	$1.78 \pm 0.27*$	56.66+7.60*	152.16+8.23*
- ()	21	6.98±0.49*	3.13±0.39*	32.66±5.53*	85.50±11.32*
C (n=6)	0	2.95±0.73*	1.88±0.14*	58.33±6.97*	151.83±13.01*
	21	6.00±0.24*	2.65±0.25*	37.83±5.30*	108.83±10.22*
D (n=6)	0	2.55±0.52**	1.36±0.41**	61.33±7.76**	157.83±19.81**
· · ·	21	2.61±0.62**	1.48±0.47**	64.33±11.32**	172.66±25.37**
E (n=6)	0	6.86±0.54**	3.40±0.14**	29.50±5.92**	82.66±20.37**
	21	6.86±0.54**	3.40±0.14**	29.50±5.92**	2.66±20.37**
Goats					
A (n=6)	0	3.35±0.62*	1.96±0.24*	70.83±6.76*	248.83±6.30*
	21	6.93±0.33*	3.11±0.25*	34.16±6.43*	137.66±34.58*
B (n=6)	0	2.75±0.45*	1.61±0.34*	62.16±6.30*	255.16±10.77*
	21	7.00±0.34*	3.56±0.57*	36.50±7.68*	194.83±11.33*
C (n=6)	0	3.65±0.81*	1.46±0.37*	71.83±6.73*	151.83±13.01*
	21	6.56±0.38*	3.63±0.78*	37.83±5.30*	209.00±9.87*
D (n=6)	0	2.55±0.52**	2.01±0.48**	72.00±9.01**	267.66±11.48**
	21	2.46±0.64**	1.35±0.47**	65.00±10.27**	172.66±25.37**
E (n=6)	0	6.81±0.26**	3.45±0.36**	41.83±9.02**	156.16±17.22**

Table IV.- Serum biochemistry values in different groups at day 0 and 21 in sheep and goat (Mean±SD).

n= No. of animals; Values having different superscripts letters in each column are statistically significantly different (P<0.05) *indicates that values are statistically significantly different (P<0.05); **indicate that values are statistically non significantly different (P>0.05)

count were significantly decreased (P<0.05) in sheep and goats of groups A, B and C compared to group D. Major effects of parasite on indigenous animals are severe anemia along with hematological disturbances (Iqbal *et al.*, 1998). After treatment with different drugs the quality of blood loss by the parasites was minimized as reported by Yacob *et al.* (2008). The value of circulating eosinophil count was higher in infected animals. The relationship of reduced packed cell volume and eosinophilia was observed with each other (Woolaston *et al.*, 1996).

Serum biochemical values of sheep and goats

The serum biochemical values in different groups of sheep and goats are given in Table IV. At day 21 albumin and total serum protein were significantly increased (P<0.05) in groups A, B and C of sheep and goats compared to D whereas ALT and AST was significantly reduced (P<0.05) in goats of groups A, B and C of sheep and compared to D.

H. contortus has serious effect on serum biochemistry and enzymatic assays like ALP, AST and ALT. It has been reported higher significantly in small ruminants (Hassan *et al.*, 2013). There is a change in total

serum protein (TSP) level, synthesis of proteins in liver was found to be increased and was suggested to be due to the loss of plasma protein into the intestine as a result of increased mucosal permeability caused by *H. contortus* and many more parasites (Bahrami *et al.*, 2011). Based on these results, it may be concluded that small ruminants of the selected study area are under the high risk of the *H. contortus*, which may be minimized by controlling the various associated determinants and applying the suitable treatment in combination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Muhammad Anees, Senior Veterinary Officer (SVO) for nice cooperation in processing of samples in the field.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. and Siddiqa, F., 2013. Anthelmintic Activity of Unani Drug Mallotus phillippienesis (Kamela). AJPCT., 9: 706-712.
- Al-Shaibani, I.R.M., Phulan, M. S., Arijo, A. and Qureshi, T.A., 2008. Epidemiology of ovine gastrointestinal nematodes

in Hyderabad district, Pakistan. Pak. Vet. J., 28: 125-130.

- Ancheta, P.B., Dumilon, R.A., Venturina, V.M., Cerbito, W.A., Dobson, R.J., Le Jambre, L.F., Viollar, E.C. and Gray, G.D., 2004. Efficacy of benzimidazole anthelmintics in goats and sheep in the Phillipines using a larval development assay. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **102**: 107-121.
- Asif, M., Azeem, S., Asif, S. and Nazir, S., 2008. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites of sheep and goats in and around Rawalpindi and Islamabad, Pakistan. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 1: 14-17.
- Aumont, G., Pouillot, R., Simon, R., Hostache, G., Varo, H. and Barre, N., 1997. Parasitism digestif des petits ruminants dans les Antilles françaises. *INRA Prod. Anim.*, **10**: 79-89.
- Bachaya, H.A., Iqbal, Z., Jabbar, A. and Ali, A., 2006. Copping with loss of livestock.http://www.dawn.com/2006/02/26/eber5.htm.
- Bahrami, A.M., Ahmady-Asbchin, S., Araash, B. and Ali, L.M., 2011. Nematode infestation in goats and its economical treatment. *World appl. Sci. J.*, **15**: 1267-1273.
- Deeba, F., Muhammad, G., Iqbal, Z. and Hussain, I., 2009. Appraisal of ethno-veterinary practices used for different ailments in dairy animals in peri-urban areas of Faisalabad (Pakistan). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **11**: 535–541.
- Ejlertsen, M., Githigia, S.M., Otieno, R.O. and Thamsborg, S.M., 2006. Accuracy of an anemia scoring chart applied on goats in sub-humid Kenya and its potential for control of *Haemonchus contortus* infections. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 5: 291-301.
- Ghisi, E., Bressan, D.L. and Martini, M., 2007. Rainwater tank capacity and potential for potable water savings by using rainwater in the residential sector of southeastern Brazil. *Build Environ.*, **42**: 1654–1666.
- Githiori, J.B., Glund, J. H.O., Waller, P.J. and Baker, R.L., 2004. Evaluation of anthelmintic properties of some plants used as livestock dewormers against *Haemonchus contortus* infections in sheep. *Parasitology*, **129**: 245–253.
- Gupta, P.C., Sharma, N. and Rao, C.V., 2012. A review on ethnobotany, phytochemistry and pharmacology of *Fumaria indica* (Fumitory). Asian Pac. J. trop. Biomed., 2: 665-669.
- Hassan, M.M., Hoque, M.A., Islam, S.K.M.A, Khan, S.A., Hossain, M.B and Banu, Q., 2013. Efficacy of anthelmintics against parasitic infections and their treatment effect on the production and blood indices in Black Bengal goats in Bangladesh. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 36: 400-408.
- Ijaz, M., Khan, M.S., Avais, M., Ashraf, K., Ali, M.N. and Khan, M.Z.U., 2009. Infection rate and chemotherapy of various helminths in diarrheic sheep in and around Lahore. J. Anim. Pl. Sci., 19: 13-16.
- Iqbal, Z. and Jabbar, A., 2005. *Dealing with a threat*. url: http:// www.dawn.com/weekly/science/archive/050416/science4 .htm.
- Iqbal, Z., Rasool, G., Hayat, C.S. and Akhtar, M., 1998.

Biochemical disturbances associated with haemonchosis in sheep. *Agric. Sci.*, **3:** 35-39.

- Kamaraj, C., Rahuman, A.A., Elango, G., Bagavan, A. and Zahir, A.A., 2011. Anthelmintic activity of botanical extracts against sheep gastrointestinal nematodes, *Haemonchus contortus. Parasitol. Res.*, **109**: 37-45.
- Kaplan, R.M., Burke, J.M., Terrill, T.H., Miller, J.E., Getz, W.R., Mobini, S., Valencia, E., Williams, M.J., Williamson, L.H., Larsen, M. and Vatta, A.F., 2004. Validation of the FAMACHA eye color chart for detecting clinical anaemia in sheep and goats on farms in southern United States. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **123**: 105–120.
- Khajuria, J.K. and Kapoor, P.R., 2003. Prevalence of parasites in sheep and goats at Kathua Jammu. J. Vet. Parasitol., 17: 121–126.
- Khan, M.N., Sajid, M.K., Khan, M.N., Iqbal, Z. and Hussain, A., 2010. Gastrointestinal helminthiasis: prevalence and associated determinants in domestic ruminants of district Toba Tek Singh, Punjab, Pakistan. *Parasitol. Res.*, 107: 787-794.
- Kuchai, J.A., Ahmad, F., Chishti, M.Z., Tak, H., Ahmad, J., Ahmad, S. and Rasool, M., 2012. A study on morphology and morphometery of *Haemonchus contortus*. *Pak. J. Zool.*, 44: 1737-1764.
- Lateef, M., Iqbal, Z., Jabbar, A., Khan, M.N. and Akhtar, M.S., 2005. Epidemiology of trichostrongylid nematode infections in sheep under traditional husbandry system in Pakistan. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **7:** 596–600.
- Maqsood, M., Iqbal, Z. and Chaudhary, A.H., 1996. Prevalence and intensity of haemonchosis with reference to breed, sex and age of sheep and goats. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 16: 41-43.
- Marie-Magdeleine, C., Udino, L., Philibert, L., Bocage, B. and Archimede, H., 2010. In vitro effects of Cassava (*Manihotesculenta*) leaf extracts on four development stages of Haemonchus contortus. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **173**: 85-92.
- McKellar, Q.A. and Jackson, F., 2004. Veterinary anthelmintics: old and new. *Trends Parasitol.*, **20**:456-461.
- Mortensen, L.L., Williamson, L.H., Terrill, T.H., Kircher, R., Larsen, M. and Kaplan, R.M., 2003. Evaluation of prevalence and clinical implications of anthelmintic resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes of goats. J. Am. Vet. med. Assoc., 223: 495-500.
- Mushtaq, H., Lashari, H. and Tasawar, Z., 2011. Prevalence of some gastrointestinal parasites in sheep in southern Punjab, Pakistan. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **31:** 295-298.
- Muzarab, S., Hussain, S.A. and Siddiqui, I.D., 1980. Incidence of gastrointestinal nematode parasites of sheep slaughtered in Muncipal Corporation Abattoir Lahore. J. Anim. Hlth. Prod., 2: 73.
- Nabi, H., Saeed, K., Shah, S.R., Rashid, M.I., Akbar, H. and Shehzad, W., 2014. Epidemiological study of gastrointestinal nematodes of goats in District Swat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Sci. Int., 26: 283-286.

- Nginyi, J.M., Duncan, J.L., Mellor, D.J. and Stear, M.J., 2001. Epidemiology of parasitic gastrointestinal nematode infections of ruminants on small holder farms in Central Kenya. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, **70**: 33–39.
- Notter, D.R., Andrew, S.A. and Zajac, A.M., 2003. Responses of hair and wool sheep to a single fixed dose of infective larvae of *Haemonchus contortus*. *Small Rumin. Res.*, **47**: 221–225.
- Qamar, M.F. and Maqbool, A., 2012. Biochemical studies and serodiagnosis of haemonchosis in sheep and goats. J. Anim. Pl. Sci., 22: 32-38.
- Rasool, G., Iqbal, Z., Khan, M.N. and Hayat, B., 1995. Haematological disturbances associated with haemonchosis in sheep. *Pak. Vet. J.*, **15**: 159-162.
- Raza, A.M., Iqbal, Z., Jabbar, A. and Yaseen, M., 2007. Point prevalence of gastrointestinal helminthiasis in ruminants in southern Punjab (Pakistan). J. Helminthol., 81: 323– 328.
- Raza, M.A., Murtaza, S., Bachaya, H.A., Dastager, G. and Hussain, A., 2009. Point prevalence of haemonchosis in sheep and goats slaughtered at Multan Abattoir. J. Anim. Pl. Sci., 19: 158-159.
- Saddiqi, H.A., Iqbal, Z., Khan, M.N. and Muhammad, G., 2010. Comparative resistance of sheep breeds to *Haemonchus contortus* in a natural pasture infection. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **12**: 739–743.
- Saddiqi, H.A., Iqbal, Z., Khan, M.N., Sarwar, M., Muhammad, G., Yaseen, M. and Jabbar, A., 2010. Evaluation of three Pakistani sheep breeds for their natural resistance to artificial infection of *Haemonchus contortus*. Vet. Parasitol., 26: 141-145.
- Shahadat, M.A., Karim, M.J., Alam, M.Z. and Majumder, S., 2003. Seasonal distribution of *Haemonchus contortus* in Bengal goats. *Bangladesh Vet.*, **20**: 72–76.
- Sharma, J. and Varma, R., 2011. A review on endangered plant of *Mallotus philippensis* (Lam.) *M. arg. Pharmacologyonline*, **3:** 1256-1265.

- Soulsby, E.J.L. (7th eds.), 2005. *Helminths arthropods and protozoa of domesticated animals*. Bailliere Tindall, London, pp. 120.
- Squires, J.M., Ferreira, J.F.S., Lindsay, D.S. and Zajac, A.M., 2011. Effects of artemisinin and artemisia extract on *Haemonchus contortus* in gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus*). Vet. Parasitol., **10**: 103–108.
- Strain, S.A.J. and Stear, M.J., 2001. The influence of protein supplementation on the immune response to *Haemonchus contortus*. *Parasite Immunol.*, 23: 527–531.
- Tariq, F., Maqbool, A., Tanveer, A. and Muhammad, F., 2003. Prevalence of haemonchosis in jabba sheep farm and efficacy of some indigenous medicinal plants against haemonchosis. *Iranian J. Vet. Res.*, 4: 223-227.
- Tariq, K.A., Chishti, M.Z., Ahmad, F. and Shawl, A.S., 2008. Epidemiology of gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep managed under traditional husbandry system in Kashmir valley. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 25: 138-143.
- Tasawar, Z., Ahmad, S., Lashari, M.H. and Hayat, C.S., 2010. Prevalence of *Haemonchus contortus* in sheep at research centre for conservation of Sahiwal cattle (RCCSC) Jehangirabad District Khanewal, Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 42: 735-739.
- Varady, M., Konigova, A. and Corba, J., 2004. A field study to evaluate the efficacy of fenbendazole on stud farms. *Vet. Med. Czech.*, **49**: 42-46.
- Woolaston, R.R., Manueli, T.P., Eady, S.J., Barger, I.A., Le Jambre, L.F., Banks, D.J.D. and Windons, R.G., 1996. The value of circulating eosinophil count as a selection criterion for resistance of sheep to trichostrongyle Parasites. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 26: 123-126.
- Yacob, H.T., Basazinew, B.K. and Basu, A.K., 2008. Experimental concurrent infection of goats with *Oestru* sovis (L1) and *Haemonchus contortus* (L3): Interaction between hematological parameters and parasite burdens. *Exp. Parasitol.*, **120**: 180–184.